

Benefits of organic farming and effect on increase yield

Hossein Moradi¹, Mohammad Fahramand², Alireza Sobhkhizi¹, Mohammad Adibian¹, Mohsen Noori¹ and Khashayar Rigi^{2*}

1- Higher Educational Complex of Saravan, Iran

2- Young Researchers and Elite Club, Zahedan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Zahedan, Iran

Corresponding author: Khashayar Rigi

ABSTRACT: There are exuberance definitions of organic farming, which is as well as known as ecological husbandry (Gosling, 2006) or biodynamic farming (Lampkin, 2002). According to Lampkin (1994, 1997), the purpose of organic farming is: “to make integrated, humane, environmentally and economically supportable manufacture systems, which maximize support on farm-derived renewable resources and the management of ecological and biological activities and interactions, so as to furnish acceptable levels of crop, livestock and human nourishing, protection from pests and malady, and an appropriate return to the human and other resources. Yields on organic farms, although may not be as great as those produced by conventional exercise, fall within an acceptable span. Between the benefits of organic farming is an enhancement in soil microbial actuality and biological proceedings. However, it has been shown that microbial activity and biomass is higher in fields with organic amendments than fields with conventional fertilizers.

Keywords: organic farming, benefit, yield.

INTRODUCTION

Organic management has become one of the most popular sustainable strategies to produce agricultural goods but reduce negative environmental effects of intensive agriculture such as biodiversity decline (Zechmeister, 2003; Tschardtke, 2005; Whittingham, 2011). At first, the model appears ideally suited to the process of adoption of organic agriculture. However, some doubts arise if the background of the adoption research is considered. It was developed at the height of the productivity paradigm for agriculture and the ‘green revolution.’ Organic farming, on the other hand, is a challenge to this productivity paradigm, with a wide range of environmental and sustainability objectives, and one of the main areas of criticism of the model was concerned with its suitability to study environmental change in agriculture (e.g. Buttel, 1990; Heffernan, 1982; Nowak, 1982). There is some indication that gender is a factor in the decision to convert to organic farming, although the role of women in organic agriculture in general and in the decision making in particular has not been studied in detail. On several of the 100 organic farms whose motives to go organic were studied in a qualitative social study in Switzerland, the initial ‘organic’ ideas came from the woman (Fischer, 1982). Organic methods were tried at first in the vegetable garden, which is traditionally the woman’s domain, before they were introduced on the whole farm (Dettmer 1986; Fischer 1982; Fisher 1989). To achieve this goal, organic management abandons pesticides and synthetic fertilizers, and restricts livestock density and the use of organic fertilizers from animal husbandry (maximum of 170 kg N ha⁻¹) (European Union, 2008). Hence, the resulting lower pressure of land-use intensity can benefit agro-biodiversity (Gomiero, 2011). However, critics of organic management argue that especially restricted fertilizer input may significantly reduce quantity and quality of yields (Offermann and Nieberg, 2000). While starting from a small base, organic agriculture is now a fast growing agricultural section in the U.S. Dimitri and Greene, (2002) report a doubling of acreage in organic production from 1992 to 1997, currently on more than 500,000 ha. Organic food sales total more than \$7 billion per year and are growing at double-digit rates (Greene, 2000 and 2004; Odwalla, 2002; ERS, 2003). With continuing consumer concerns related to the environment and the chemicals used in food

production, and the growing availability of certified organic production, the outlook for continuing growth of organic production is bright (Dimitri and Greene, 2002). Yields of crops grown in organic and conventional production systems can be equivalent. Vegetable fields under organic production in California produced yields equal to those under conventional production (Drinkwater, 1995; Stamatiadis, 1999). Long-term research in Pennsylvania has also demonstrated little difference in yields between conventional and organic production systems (Drinkwater, 1998). Numbers of thermophilic microorganisms were also higher in soils amended with organic amendments than soils amended with synthetic fertilizers. Actinomycetes were a major constituent of the thermophilic microorganisms detected in our study. Greater propagule densities of actinomycetes in tomato field soils under organic production compared with conventional production systems in California have also been reported (Drinkwater, 1995).

Definition of organic farming

There are exuberant definitions of organic farming, which is as well as known as ecological husbandry (Gosling, 2006) or biodynamic farming (Lampkin, 2002). According to Lampkin, (1994, 1997), the purpose of organic farming is: "to make integrated, humane, environmentally and economically supportable manufacture systems, which maximize support on farm-derived renewable resources and the management of ecological and biological activities and interactions, so as to furnish acceptable levels of crop, livestock and human nourishing, protection from pests and malady, and an appropriate return to the human and other resources". Several have considered organic farming and sustainable husbandry equipollent, because they are both based on sustainability of agro ecological systems. Sustainability can be specified as meeting the need of the present without compromising the capability of future descendant (WCED, 1987).

Yield in organic farming

Yields on organic farms, although may not be as great as those produced by conventional exercise, fall within an acceptable span (Avery, 2007). Encouragingly, organically produced yields at the moment are significantly higher than those produced before the 1950s. Part of this advance can be imputed to new varieties and better knowledge of biological processes used in farming. For example, if N mineralization is slow because of cool/wet growing- status, crops on organic farms may not have adequate N primary in the season. However, better knowledge on N simultaneity between N liberation by manures and N request by crops could minimize or even clean this N deficiency difficulty (Hue and Silva, 2000; Myers, 1997).

Soil biological characteristics

Between the benefits of organic farming is an enhancement in soil microbial actuality and biological proceedings (Gunapala and Scow, 1998; Petersen, 1999; Scow, 1994; Werner, 1997). Some of the disturbance effects are of the order of hours or days, a shorter time frame than usually considered in agricultural studies of microbial processes and communities. For example, incorporation of cover crops into agricultural soils can produce rapid uncut actions (lasting one to several days) in microbial bio- mass (MB) and inorganic N (Wyland, 1995, 1996). Microbial community composition may also respond rapidly to disturbance. Phospholipid fatty acid (PLFA) composition of a sediment microbial community shifted substantially during the rest 12 h after sieving (Findlay, 1990). Increases in MB often occur following increased amounts of organic inputs. Short term increases occur for days to months after organic amendments are applied (Ocio, 1991, Wyland, 1995; 1996; Gunapala and Scow, 1998). Axelsen and Elmholt, (1998) estimated that a transformation to 100% organic farming in Denmark inclination enhancement microbial biomass by 77%, the population of springtails by 37%, and the density of earthworms by 154%. Limited field studies have been conducted to determine the impact of soil amendments on microbial communities in actual organic and conventional production systems in the fields (Drinkwater et al., 1995; Gunapala and Scow, 1998). However, it has been shown that microbial activity and biomass is higher in fields with organic amendments than fields with conventional fertilizers (Drinkwater, 1995). Many studies on soil microbial communities, as affected by organic amendments, have examined functional groups, or classes of organisms, while few studies have examined the impact on community composition and genera within these groups. One such study in organic tomato fields in California found that suppression of corky root disease was associated with increased actinomycete activity (Workneh et al., 1993; Workneh and van Bruggen, 1994). In organically managed systems where no synthetic fertilizers are allowed, soil microbial biomass is important to supply plant nutrients by mineralization processes and to avoid nutrient leaching (Friedel, 2001). Soil microbial biomass, the living part of soil organic matter (SOM), acts as an important ecological indicator and is responsible for organic matter decomposition and nutrients mineralization (Marinari, 2006). Any changes in soil microbial biomass may impact these important functions in the soil. In addition, as microbes are living, they respond more quickly to changes in

soil conditions than does SOM (Araújo and Melo, 2010). On the other hand, SOM fractions, such as light fraction or humic substance, also can be considered indicators of land use and management effect on the soil environment as reported by several authors (Bayer, 2006; Cookson, 2008; Leite, 2003; Okore, 2007; Triberti, 2008).

Organizations worldwide offer

Today, 395 organizations worldwide offer organic certification services. Most certification bodies are in Europe (160) followed by Asia (93) and North America (80). The countries with the most certification bodies are the US, Japan, China and Germany. Many of the certification organizations also operate outside of their home country. Forty percent of the certification bodies are approved by the EU, 32% have ISO 65 accreditation, and 28% are accredited under the US National Organic Program (Yussefi and Willer, 2007).

REFERENCES

- Araújo ASF and Melo WJ. 2010. Soil microbial biomass in organic farming system. *Ciência Rural* 40, 2419–2426.
- Avery A. 2007. Going organic. *Crops & Soils*. Vol. 40(1):8-12. Amer. Soc. Agron. Madison, WI. USA.
- Bayer C, Martin-Neto L, Mielniczuk J, Pavinato A and Dieckow J. 2006. Carbon sequestration in two Brazilian Cerrado soils under no-till. *Soil and Tillage Research* 86, 237–245.
- Cookson WR, Daniel V, Murphya DV and Roper MM. 2008. Characterizing the relationships between soil organic matter components and microbial function and composition along a tillage disturbance gradient. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 40, 763–777.
- Dettmer J. 1986. Motive und sozial-ökonomische Probleme der Umstellung auf ökologischen Landbau aus der Sicht von ökologisch wirtschaftenden Bauern. *Studien zur ländlichen Humanökologie* (Göttingen: Institut für rurale Entwicklung, Georg-August Universität)
- Dimitri C and Greene C. 2002. Food industry taps growing American market. *Economic Research Survey, USDA. Agricultural Outlook*. October, 2002. p.4-7.
- Drinkwater LE, Letourneau DK, Workneh F, van Bruggen AHC and Shennan C. 1995. Fundamental differences between conventional and organic tomato agroecosystems in California. *Ecol. Appl.* 5, 1098–1112.
- Ec. 1991. Council Regulation (eec) No 2092/91 of 24 June 1991 on organic production of agricultural products and indications referring thereto on agricultural products and foodstuffs. *Official Journal of the European Communities L198 (22.7.91)* pp. 1–15
- European Union. 2008. Commission Regulations (EC) No. 889/2008. European Union, Brussels.
- Fischer R. 1982. *Der andere Landbau: Hundert Bio-Bauern und Gärtner berichten über ihre Beweggründe, Arbeitsweisen und Erfahrungen* (Zürich: Verlag Buchhandlung Madliger- Schwab)
- Friedel JK, Gabel D and Stahr K. 2001. Nitrogen pools and turnover in arable soils under different durations of organic farming. II. Source- and sink-function of the soil microbial biomass or competition with growing plants? *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science* 164, 421–429.
- Gomiero T, Pimentel D and Paoletti M. 2011. Environmental impact of different agri-cultura management practices: conventional vs. organic agriculture. *Crit. Rev.Plant Sci.* 30, 95–124.
- Gosling P, Hodge A, Goodlass G and Bending GD. 2006. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and organic farming. *Agriculture, ecosystems and environment*, 113: 17-35.
- Greene C. 2000. Organic agriculture gaining ground. *Agricultural Outlook* (April): 9-14. USDA-Economic Research Service, Washington, DC.
- Greene C. 2004. Economic Research Service. U. S. Department of Agriculture: Data, Organic Production. <http://www.ers.usda.gov/Data/organic/> (4/26/05)
- Gunapala N and Scow K. 1998. Dynamics of soil microbial biomass and activity in conventional and organic farming systems. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 30, 805–816.
- Heffernan DW. 1982. Assumptions of the adoption/diffusion model and soil conservation. Paper presented at the 'Rca Symposium: Future Agricultural Technology and Resource Conservation.' (Washington d.c.)
- Hue NV and Silva JA. 2000. Organic soil amendments for sustainable agriculture: organic sources of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium. p. 133-144. In: *Plant nutrient management in Hawaii's soils*. J. A. Silva, Ray Uchida (Eds.) Coll. Trop. Agric. Human Resources, Univ. Hawaii, USA.
- Lampkin, N. 1994. Organic farming: sustainable agriculture in practice. In: Lampkin, N., Padel, S. (Eds.), *The Economics of Organic Farming. An International Perspective*. CABI, Oxford.
- Lampkin N. 1997. Organic livestock production and agricultural sustainability. *Proceedings of 3rd ENOF Conference, Italy*, pp. 71-88.
- Lampkin N. 2001. Certified and policy-supported organic and in-conversion land area in Europe 1985–2001. <http://www.organic.aber.ac.uk/stats.html> Accessed December 2006.
- Leite LFC, Mendonca ES, Machado PLOA and Matos ES. 2003. Total C and N storage and organic C pools of a Red-Yellow Podzolic under conventional and no tillage at the Atlantic Forest Zone, south-eastern Brazil. *Australian Journal of Soil Research* 41, 717–730.

- Marinari S, Mancinelli R, Campiglia E and Grego S. 2006. Chemical and biological indicators of soil quality in organic and conventional farming systems in Central Italy. *Ecological Indicators* 6, 701–711.
- Myers RJK, van Noordwijk M and Vityakon P. 1997. Synchrony of nutrient release and plant demand. In: Cadisch G. and K.E. Giller (Eds.) *Driven by nature. Plant litter quality and decomposition*. CAB Intern. Wallingford, UK. pp. 215-230.
- Nowak PJ. 1982. Adoption and diffusion of soil and water conservation practices. Paper presented at the rca Symposium: 'Future Agricultural Technology and Resource Conservation' (Washington d.c.)
- Odwalla. 2002. *U.S. Market Trends in Organic Foods*.
- Offermann F and Nieberg H. 2000. *Organic Farming in Europe: Economics and Policy, Economic Performance of Organic Farms in Europe*, fifth ed. University ofHohenheim, Department of Farm Economics, Stuttgart.
- Okore LK, Tijani-Eniola H, Agbola AA and Aiyelan EA. 2007. Impact of land clearing methods and cropping systems on labile soil C and N pools in the humid zone Forest of Nigeria. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 2, 250–258.
- Petersen C, Drinkwater LE and Wagoner P. 1999. *The Rodale Institute Farming Systems Trial: The first fifteen years*. Kutztown, PA: The Rodale Institute.
- Scow KM, Somasco O, Ferris H, Gunapala N, Lau S, Venette R, Miller R and Shennan C. 1994. Transition from conventional to low-input agriculture changes soil fertility and biology. *Cal. Agric.* 48: 20-26.
- Triberti L, Nistri A, Giordan G, Comellini F, Baldoni G and Toderi G. 2008. Can mineral and organic fertilization help sequester carbon dioxide in cropland? *European Journal of Agronomy* 29, 13–20.
- Tscharntke T, Klein AM, Kruess A, Steffan-Dewenter I and Thies C. 2005. Landscape perspectives on agricultural intensification and biodiversity – ecosystem servicemanagement. *Ecol. Lett.* 8, 857–874.
- WCED. 1987. *Our Common Future*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Werner MR. 1997. Soil quality characteristics during conversion to organic orchard management. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 5: 151-167.
- Whittingham MJ. 2011. The future of agri-environment schemes: biodiversity gains and ecosystem service delivery? *J. Appl. Ecol.* 48, 509–513.
- Workneh F and van Bruggen AHC. 1994. Suppression of corky root of tomatoes in soils from organic farms associated with soil microbial activity and nitrogen status of soil and tomato tissue. *Phytopathology* 84, 688–694.
- Workneh F, van Bruggen AHC, Drinkwater LE and Shennan C. 1993. Variables associated with corky root and *Phytophthora* root rot of tomatoes in organic and conventional farms. *Phytopathology* 83, 581–589.
- Zechmeister HG, Schmitzberger I, Steurer B, Peterseil J and Wrabka T. 2003. The influence of land-use practices and economics on plant diversity in meadows. *Biol. Conserv.* 114, 165–167.